# Seiberg-Witten invariants and surface singularities

## Liviu I. Nicolaescu

This is a joint project with Andras Nemethi. For details one can consult math.AG/0111298, math.AG/0201120.

## Contents

1	Topological Invariants	1
<b>2</b>	Analytic invariants	4
3	The Main Problem and a Bit of History	5
4	The Main Conjecture and Evidence in its Favor	6

(X, p) (germ) of isolated surface singularity (*i.s.s.* for brevity). Assume X is Stein.

## 1 Topological Invariants

**The link.** Embed  $(X, p) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ , and set

$$M = X \cap S_{\varepsilon}^{2N-1}(0).$$

M is an oriented 3-manifold independent on the embedding and  $\varepsilon \ll 1.$ 



Figure 1: The link of an isolated singularity

**Good resolutions.** A resolution of (X, p) is a pair  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  where

- $\tilde{X}$  is a smooth complex surface;
- $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$  is holomorphic;
- $\tilde{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(p) \to X \setminus p$  is biholomorphic;

The resolution is called *good* if the exceptional divisor  $E := \pi^{-1}(p)$  is a normal crossing divisor i.e its irreducible components  $(E_i)_{1 \le i \le n}$  are smooth curves intersecting transversally.

**FACT.** Good resolutions exist but are not unique. There exists a unique minimal resolution  $\hat{X}$ , i.e. a resolution containing no -1-spheres. There exists a unique minimal good resolution. (It may have -1 spheres, but when blown down the exceptional divisor will no longer be a normal crossing divisor). Any other resolution is obtained from the minimal one by blowing-up/down -1 spheres.

Suppose  $\tilde{X}$  is a resolution of X. We set

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\tilde{X}) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ E_i \} \subset H_2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\Lambda_+(\tilde{X}) := \Big\{ \sum_i m_i E_i \in \Lambda; \ m_i \ge 0 \Big\}.$$

**Theorem.** (D. Mumford) The symmetric matrix  $(E_i \cdot E_j)_{i,j}$  is < 0.

**The dual resolution graph.** Suppose  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a good resolution of the i.s.s. (X, p) with exceptional divisor  $E = \bigcup_i E_i$ . The (dual) resolution graph is a decorated graph  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\tilde{X}}$  obtained as follows.

- There is one vertex  $v_i$  for each component  $E_i$ .
- Two vertices  $v_i, v_j, i \neq j$  are connected by  $E_i \cdot E_j$  edges.

• Each vertex  $v_i$  is decorated by two integers, the genus  $g_i$  of  $E_i$ , and the self intersection number  $e_i := E_i^2$ .

We see that  $\tilde{X}$  is a plumbing of disk bundles over the Riemann surfaces  $E_i$ , with plumbing instructions contained in the graph  $\Gamma$ . The boundary of this plumbing is precisely the link of the singularity.



Figure 2: A plumbing and its associated dual graph

**Theorem.** (W.Neumann) Suppose  $(X_i, p)$ , i = 0, 1 are two i.s.s. Denote by  $M_i$  their links, and by  $\tilde{X}_i$  their minimal good resolutions. The following statements are equivalent.

(a) The graphs  $\Gamma_{\tilde{X}_i}$  are isomorphic (as weighted graphs).

(b) The links  $M_i$  are diffeomorphic as oriented 3-manifolds.

**Definition.** We say that a property of an i.s.s. is *topological* if it can be described in terms of the combinatorics of the dual graph of the minimal good resolution.

**The arithmetic genus.**  $\tilde{X}$  resolution of  $(X, p), E = \bigcup_i E_i$ , the exceptional divisor. Note that every  $Z = \sum_i n_i E_i \in \Lambda_+$  can be identified with a compact complex curve on  $\tilde{X}$ . The *arithmetic genus* of Z is defined by

$$p_a(Z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( Z \cdot Z + \langle K_{\tilde{X}}, Z \rangle \right),$$

where  $K_{\tilde{X}} \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  is the canonical line bundle of  $\tilde{X}$ . When Z is a smooth curve  $p_a(Z)$  is the usual genus of Z. Set

$$p_a(\tilde{X}) := \sup \{ p_a(Z); \ Z \in \Lambda_+ \setminus 0 \}.$$

This nonnegative integer is independent of the resolution and thus it is a *topological* invariant of (X, p). We will denote it by  $p_a(X, p)$ , and we will refer to it as the arithmetic genus of the singularity.

The canonical cycle. (X, p) - i.s.s. and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$  is a resolution. The canonical cycle is the cycle  $Z_K = Z_K(\tilde{X}) \in \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  defined by

$$Z_K \cdot E_j = -\langle K_{\tilde{X}}, E_j \rangle = 2 - p_a(E_j) + E_j^2, \forall i.$$

Set

$$\gamma(\tilde{X}) = Z^2_{K_{\tilde{X}}} + b_2(\tilde{X}) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

This number is independent of the resolution  $\tilde{X}$ , and thus it is a topological invariant of (X, p). We will denote it by  $\gamma(X, p)$ . Note that if  $\tilde{X}$  is the minimal good resolution then  $Z_{K_{\tilde{X}}}$  is a topological invariant of M.

Observe that

$$\gamma(X,p) = \left(K_{\tilde{X}}^2 - \left(2\chi(\tilde{X}) + 3\operatorname{sign}\left(\tilde{X}\right)\right)\right) + 2 - 2b_1(\tilde{X}).$$
(\gamma)

**Definition.** Suppose (X, p) is an i.s.s., and  $(\tilde{X}, \pi, E)$  is a good resolution. The singularity is called **Gorenstein** if  $K_{\tilde{X}} |_{\tilde{X} \setminus E}$  is **holomorphically** trivial. The singularity is called **numerically Gorenstein** if  $K_{\tilde{X}} |_{\tilde{X} \setminus E}$  is **topologically** trivial.

Observe that (X, p) is numerically Gorenstein iff

$$K_{\tilde{X}} \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \iff Z_K \in \Lambda.$$

**Example.** All local complete intersection singularities are Gorenstein. Recall that the i.s.s. (X, p) is a local complete intersection singularity if near p it can is described as the zero set of a holomorphic map  $F : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N-2}$ .

## 2 Analytic invariants

The geometric genus. (X, p) i.s.s., X Stein,  $\tilde{X}$  resolution.

 $\tilde{X}$  Levi pseudoconvex  $\Longrightarrow \dim H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) < \infty, \ \forall k \ge 1.$ 

The integer dim  $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$  is independent of the resolution, and thus it is an *analytic* invariant of (X, p). It is called the *geometric genus* and is denoted by  $p_g(X, p)$ . It is known that

$$p_g(X,p) \ge p_a(X,p).$$

**Example.** Suppose  $L \to \Sigma$  is a degree d < 0 holomorphic line bundle over the Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  of genus g. By a theorem of Grauert there exists a natural Stein space X with an isolated singularity at  $p \in X$ , and a holomorphic map

$$(L,\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\pi} (X,p)$$

which makes L a good resolution of (X, p) with exceptional divisor  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L$ . Then

$$p_a(X,p) = g, \quad Z_K = \left(1 + \frac{2-2g}{d}\right)\Sigma, \quad \gamma(X,p) = d\left(1 + \frac{2-2g}{d}\right)^2 + 1,$$
$$p_g(X,p) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \dim H^1\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(-nL)\right) \stackrel{Serre}{=} \sum_{n \ge 0} \dim H^0\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}(K_{\Sigma} + nL)\right). \tag{p_g}$$

We deduce that  $p_g(X, p)$  depends on the complex structure on  $\Sigma$ , and on the complex structure on L, i.e. on the holomorphic embedding  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow L$ . These dependencies on analytic data become irrelevant under appropriate topological constraints.

- $\Sigma$  is rational, i.e. g = 0.
- $\Sigma$  is elliptic, i.e. g = 1.
- The degree of L is sufficiently negative,  $\deg L \leq -g$ .

In all these cases  $p_g(X, p) = p_a(X, p) = g$ .

**Smoothings.** A smoothing of an i.s.s. (X, p) is a proper flat map  $(\mathfrak{X}, q) \xrightarrow{F} (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  together with an embedding  $i: (X, p) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, q)$  which induces an isomorphism  $(X, p) \cong (F^{-1}(0), q)$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$  sufficiently small the fiber  $X_t := f^{-1}(t)$  is smooth. Its topology is independent of t.  $X_t$  is called the *Milnor fiber* of the smoothing. The Milnor number  $\mu$  of the smoothing is  $b_2(X_t)$ .

**Example.** Suppose (X, p) is the complete intersection, described by the zero set of a map  $F : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N-2}$ . To construct smoothings of (X, p) its suffices to pick a line through the origin  $L \subset \mathbb{C}^{N-2}$  and set  $\mathfrak{X} := F^{-1}(L)$ .

**Theorem.** (Durfee-Laufer-Steenbrink-Wahl) Suppose (X, p) is a smoothable Gorenstein i.s.s. We denote by F its Milnor fiber. Then

$$p_g(X,p) = -\frac{1}{8} \text{sign}\left(F\right) - \frac{1}{8}\gamma(X,p).$$

Motivated by the above result, we define the *virtual signature* of an i.s.s. by

$$\sigma_{virt}(X,p) := -8p_g(X,p) - \gamma(X,p)$$

For smoothable Gorenstein singularities, the virtual signature is the signature of the Milnor fiber.

## 3 The Main Problem and a Bit of History

**The Main Problem.** How much information about the analytic structure of the i.s.s. (X, p) is encoded in the topology of its link M. In particular, can we determine  $p_g(X, p)$  from combinatorial data contained in the dual resolution graph of the minimal good resolution?

**History.** Work of the past four decades indicates that the link often contains nontrivial information about the analytic structure.

**0** (D. Mumford, 1961) (X, p) is smooth at p if and only if the link is  $\cong S^3$ .

**2** (M. Artin, 1962-66)  $p_g(X, p) = 0 \iff p_a(X, p) = 0$ . In this case, the link M is a rational homology sphere ( $\mathbb{Q}HS$  for brevity).

**③** (H. Laufer, 1977) Assume that (X, p) is elliptic, i.e.  $p_a(X, p) = 1$ , and Gorenstein. Then the condition  $p_a(X, p) = 1$  is topological.

**O** (A. Nemethi, 1999) Assume that (X, p) is elliptic, Gorenstein, and the link M is a  $\mathbb{Q}HS$ . Then  $p_g(X, p)$  is equal to a certain topological invariant of M, the length of the elliptic sequence defined by S.S.-T. Yau.

**Remark.** (a) M is a  $\mathbb{Q}HS$  iff  $\Gamma$  is a tree and all the components  $E_i$  are rational curves. (b) The condition that M is a  $\mathbb{Q}HS$  cannot be removed from  $\mathbf{\Theta}$ . To see this consider the singularities  $(X_1, 0) = \{x^2 + y^3 + z^{18} = 0\}, (X_2, 0) = \{z^2 + y(x^4 + y^6) = 0\}$ . They have isomorphic resolution graphs (see below), but  $p_g(X_1, 0) = 3, p_g(X_2, 0) = 2$ .

$$-1$$
  $-2$   $-2$   $-2$   $g=1$ 

 $\Theta$  (Fintushel-Stern, Neumann-Wahl, 1990) Suppose (X, p) is a Brieskorn complete intersection singularity and the link M is an *integral* homology sphere ( $\mathbb{Z}HS$ ). Then

Casson 
$$(M) = -\frac{1}{8}\sigma_{virt}(X, p).$$

Here we recall that a Brieskorn complete intersection singularity is a complete intersection singularity of the form

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}z_1^{p_1} + \cdots + a_{1n}z_n^{p_n} = 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ a_{(n-2)1}z_1^{p_1} + \cdots + a_{(n-2)n}z_n^{p_n} = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** If in **\bigcirc** we assume only that *M* is a  $\mathbb{Q}HS$  then the obvious generalization

Casson-Walker 
$$(M) = -\frac{1}{8}\sigma_{virt}(X, p).$$

is no longer true.

#### 4 The Main Conjecture and Evidence in its Favor

**The Main Conjecture.** Suppose (X, p) is a rational, or Gorenstein singularity such that its link is a  $\mathbb{Q}HS$ . Then M is equipped with a canonical  $spin^c$  structure  $\sigma_{can}$ , which depends only on the resolution graph  $\Gamma$  of the minimal good resolution, and

$$sw_M(\sigma_{can}) = -\frac{1}{8}\sigma_{virt}(X,p),$$

where  $sw_M(\sigma_{can})$  denotes the Seiberg-Witten invariant of the canonical  $spin^c$  structure. In particular, if M is a  $\mathbb{Z}HS$  then there is an unique  $spin^c$  structure on M whose Seiberg-Witten invariant equals the Casson invariant of M so that

Casson 
$$(M) = -\frac{1}{8}\sigma_{virt}(X, p).$$

**Evidence.** We need to describe the various terms in the Main Conjecture. Denote by  $\tilde{Y}$  the minimal model modulution of  $(X, \pi)$ . Then

Denote by  $\tilde{X}$  the minimal good resolution of (X, p). Then

$$\Lambda = H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \quad H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Lambda := \operatorname{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$$

Set  $H := H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , and denote the group operation on H multiplicatively. The intersection form on  $\Lambda$  defines an embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \check{\Lambda}$ , and we have

 $H \cong \check{\Lambda} / \Lambda.$ 

H acts freely and transitively on the set  $Spin^{c}(M)$  of  $spin^{c}$  structures on M

$$H \times Spin^{c}(M) \ni (h, \sigma) \mapsto h \cdot \sigma \in Spi^{c}(M).$$

To define the canonical  $spin^c$  structure  $\sigma_{can}$  let us recall that a choice of a  $spin^c$  structure on M is equivalent to a choice of an almost complex structure on the stable tangent bundle  $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus TM$  of M. The stable tangent bundle of M is equipped with a natural complex structure induced by the complex structure on  $\tilde{X}$ .  $\sigma_{can}$  is the  $spin^c$  structure associated to this complex structure.

\* **Proposition.**  $\sigma_{can}$  can be described only in terms of the combinatorics of  $\Gamma_{\tilde{X}}$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $l\mathbf{k}_M : H \times H \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  the linking form of M. An enhancement of  $l\mathbf{k}_M$  is a function

$$q: H \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

such that

$$q(h_1h_2) - q(h_1) - q(h_2) = \mathbf{lk}_M(h_1, h_2), \ \forall h_1, h_2 \in H.$$

There is a natural bijection between  $Spin^{c}(M)$  and the set of enhancements,  $\sigma \mapsto q_{\sigma}$ . Recalling that  $H \cong \check{\Lambda}/\Lambda$  we define

$$q_{can}: \check{\Lambda}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{Q}, \ q_{can}(h) = -\frac{1}{2} (K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \check{h} + \check{h} \cdot \check{h}) \mod \mathbb{Z}$$

for every  $h \in \Lambda/\Lambda$ , and every  $h \in \Lambda$  which projects onto h. The expression in the right hand side depends only on  $\Gamma$ .  $\sigma_{can}$  is the  $spin^c$  structure corresponding to  $q_{can}$ .

**Remark.** (a)  $q_{can}$  first appeared in work of Looijenga-Wahl. (b) From the above description of  $\sigma_{can}$  and the equality  $(\gamma)$  we deduce that  $\gamma(X, p) - 2$  equals the Gompf invariant of the  $spin^c$  structure  $\sigma_{can}$ .

The Seiberg-Witten invariant is a function

$$sw_M : Spin^c(M) \to \mathbb{Q}, \ \sigma \mapsto sw_M(\sigma).$$

 $sw_M(\sigma) = \#$  of Seiberg-Witten  $\sigma$ -monopoles + the Kreck-Stolz invariant of  $\sigma$  (a certain combination of eta invariants). For each  $\sigma \in Spin^c(M)$  define

$$\boldsymbol{SW}_{M,\sigma}:H o \mathbb{Q},\;\; \boldsymbol{SW}_{M,\sigma}(h)=\boldsymbol{sw}_M(h^{-1}\cdot\sigma),$$

One can give a combinatorial description of this invariant. For each  $spin^c$  structure  $\sigma$ , the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion of  $(M, \sigma)$  is a function

$$\mathfrak{T}_{M,\sigma}: H \to \mathbb{Q}.$$

Denote by  $CW_M$  the Casson-Walker invariant of M, and define the modified Reidemeister-Turaev torsion of M by

$$\mathfrak{T}_{M,\sigma}^{0}: H \to \mathbb{Q}, \ \mathfrak{T}_{M,\sigma}^{0}(h) := \frac{1}{|H|} CW_{M} + \mathfrak{T}_{M,\sigma}(h), \ \forall h \in H.$$

**Theorem.** (L.I. Nicolaescu) For every  $\sigma \in Spin^{c}(M)$  we have

$$SW_{M,\sigma} \equiv \mathfrak{T}^0_{M,\sigma}.$$

Denote by  $\hat{H}$  the Pontryagin dual of H,  $\hat{H} := \text{Hom}(H, U(1))$ . The Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{T}_{M,\sigma_{can}}$  is the function

$$\hat{\Upsilon}_{M,\sigma_{can}}: \hat{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \ F(\chi) = \sum_{h \in H} \Upsilon_{M,\sigma_{can}}(h) \bar{\chi}(h).$$

The Fourier inversion formula implies

$$\boldsymbol{sw}_{M}(\sigma_{can}) = \boldsymbol{SW}_{M,\sigma_{can}}(1) = \frac{1}{|H|} CW_{M} + \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \hat{H}} \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{M,\sigma_{can}}(\boldsymbol{\chi}). \tag{*}$$

**Theorem.**(Lescop-Rațiu) The Casson-Walker invariant can be described **explicitly** in terms of the combinatorics of  $\Gamma$ .

**Main Technical Result.** (Nemethi-Nicolaescu)  $\hat{\Upsilon}_{M,\sigma_{can}}$  can be described **explicitly** in terms of the combinatorics of  $\Gamma_{\tilde{X}}$ .

Idea of Proof. Using surgery formulæ we produce an *explicit* holomorphic regularization  $R_M$  of  $\hat{T}_{M,\sigma_{can}}$ . This is an element in the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}(t)[\hat{H}]$ ,

$$R_M = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} R_{\chi}(t)\chi, \ R_{\chi} \in \mathbf{C}(t) = \text{the field of rational functions in one variable}$$
(\*\*)

such that for every character  $\chi$ 

$$\lim_{t \to 1} R_{\chi}(t) = \hat{\Upsilon}_{M,\sigma_{can}}(\chi).$$

In applications the sum in the right-hand side of (\*) is difficult to compute if the combinatorics of the graph is very involved.

**Theorem.** (Nemethi-Nicolaescu) The Main Conjecture is true for all the quasihomogeneous singularities whose links are  $\mathbb{Q}HS$ 's.

Idea of Proof. For a quasihomogeneous singularity (X, p) the resolution graph is star-shaped and the sum in (\*) simplifies somewhat. Our expression for the holomorphic regularization  $R_M$  in (\*\*) is formally identical to the Poincaré series associated to the Universal Abelian cover of (X, p) introduced by W.Neumann. The proof of the Main Conjecture in this case relies on a formula for  $p_g(X, p)$  of Dolgachev-Pinkham, and on some ideas of Neumann and Zagier.